Google Inc. et al v. Egger et al | Doc. 589

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
David W. Carpenter*
Bradford A. Berenson*
David L. Lawson*
Edward R. McNicholas*
Eric A. Shumsky #206164
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
Tel: (202) 736-8000
Fax: (202) 736-8711
bberenson@sidley.com

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
Bruce A. Ericson #76342
Jacob R. Sorensen #209134
Marc H. Axelbaum #209855
50 Fremont Street
Post Office Box 7880
San Francisco, CA 94120
Tel.: (415) 983-1000
Fax: (415) 983-1200
bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com

Attorneys for AT&T Corp. and specially appearing defendants AT&T Inc. and BellSouth Corporation

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
Randolph D. Moss*
Samir C. Jain # 181572
Brian M. Boynton # 222193
Catherine M.A. Carroll*
1875 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
Tel.: (202) 663-6000
Fax: (202) 663-6363
randolph.moss@wilmerhale.com

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
Henry Weissmann # 132418
Susan R. Szabo # 155315
Aimee A. Feinberg # 223309
355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Tel.: (213) 683-9100
Fax: (213) 683-5150
henry.weissmann@mto.com

Attorneys for Verizon Communications Inc.

* admitted pro hac vice

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re: NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGATION	MDL Dkt. No. 06-1791-VRW **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING THE MOTION TO DISMISS OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIER DEFENDANTS UNDER FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 12(b)(1) AND 12 (b)(6)** Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker
This Document Relates To: *McMurray v. Verizon Communications, Inc., et al.*, No. 09-cv-0131-VRW	

Dockets.Justia.com

On March 16, 2009, defendants Verizon Communications Inc. and AT&T Corp., and specially appearing defendants AT&T Inc. and BellSouth Corporation (collectively "carrier defendants"), moved pursuant to Rules 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to dismiss the Complaint (Dkt. 1) filed by Rev. Joe McMurray et al. ("plaintiffs").

On May 14, 2009, this motion duly came on for hearing before the Court, Hon. Vaughn R. Walker, United States District Chief Judge, presiding. Having considered defendants' motion, plaintiffs' opposition thereto, defendants' reply brief, and the oral arguments presented by counsel for the parties, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The motion is hereby GRANTED on the ground that plaintiffs' Complaint does not state a claim for relief.

2. Accordingly, the Complaint (Dkt. 1) is hereby DISMISSED with prejudice.

Dated:________________________

____
Hon. Vaughn R. Walker
United States District Chief Judge